

Council Confernce Call 4/25/19 1700 EST

Harry Mac McCarthy

Carmen Giacomuzzi

Bill Riley

Molly

Justin

Tammy Rosenthal

Ken Fung

Carmen: Brought up meeting about once a quarter via conference all to facilitate the council moving forward with meeting planning as well as general business action items.

Plan/Agenda of the Reno meeting. Opened discussion for thoughts from the group on any items they wanted to bring forward.

Justin: Were there any unanswered or unaddressed items from the previous year that need to be resolved?

Carmen: Yes, let's discuss those which may address items on the agenda and then come back to what we want to accomplish over the next 286 days before Reno. No updates on manuscript submission, which Dave Polanzo would address: will wait for his input as well as any additions or changes to the web page.

One item proposed to Dave was a **council only access page or tab**. Intent would be council could post conference call minutes, and for Dave to post membership information such as what was the year a perfusionist became a member/fellow. What's the feeling about posting attendance (year) and also participation not with the intent to shame anyone but just as a reminder, a good page for people to be able to go to especially the membership committee (Vince Olsholve, chair). Vince is in favor of this since it is difficult to address these things when there is no historical record that is easily accessible.

Discussion:

Bill: thought this was a great idea to have contact with each other and if someone has not had participation in a number of years and council reaches out to them that may compel them to participate in the spirit of productivity, not punitive. Access page such as drop box or cloud base, and password could be changed as council membership changes so only accessible by council members.

Tammy: when planning each meeting we could look at this historical reference point to look for other speakers and participants.

Carmen: so council only page vs. something open to all fellows? If the fellows could access this historical documentation they could see what they have done in the past and what they might do in the future.

Justin yes this is a good idea, even something as simple as a place of record of who did what, topic, participants, etc...

Carmen, yes but this is difficult to discuss without David, for instance are there restrictions on the website or financial considerations, design constraints, etc...

Mac: Would be a good idea and helpful to council and incoming council members, maybe there are fellows that need to be approached maybe they feel left out, no one has asked them to participate. Not sure about it being open to the general fellow membership, feel mixed. David's pushback has always been on the contact that people don't want such as personal information even accessible to other fellow members or even council members.

Tammy: Depends on how we present this idea and how it looks on the web page.

Carmen: Transparency is always good and if framed correctly we need to have at the least membership information and participation available to council. Helpful for all fellows in planning and participating in meetings. As far as personal information we could have check box on whether or not they did or did not want each item displayed name, address, email, phone, etc.. as they register for the meeting. Does miss people that don't attend that year.

Tammy: When they pay their dues they could check these boxes. For everybody: member and fellow.

Mac: would that give sales reps access to personal information?

Tammy: Would have a log on for members. Do sponsors have access to this log on? Each person would have control over their own information.

Mac would give cell number to council but not want it available to everyone.

Carmen: But maybe your office number would be for public and you would have that choice.

Tammy: we should still go forward with the council only page to help this group plan.

Justin: should we move on to discussion on planning the meeting.

Carmen: let me just go over this other business briefly...

Leadership committee members: update on web page. Everyone seen the new newsletter? Looks better than in the past. Everyone agree? Yes....

Invitation from STS to Kevin back in October and also to Carmen for follow up. They are trying to form another focus group/steering committee to discuss the new cardiac data base to roll out in 2020. So people identified from AmSect are Bill Dubois, Ben, Renee. People from ABCP are Ann Gurshow, Kile Spear. From the Academy was Kenny Shan. Wanted everyone to be updated. Any questions?

Tammy no but its' great we have a seat at the table

Carmen: Moving on to the **Program**: We have not met or called about this yet.

Justin: As a new council member not sure how the program gets established. Does council come up with an idea?

Carmen: The most experienced people are Tammy and Bill on this council. Tammy can speak to last year.

Tammy: Kevin put together the framework for the different sessions and then we had conference calls on what we wanted the meeting to look like falling under these basic categories. Broke the group down into sub groups and each one of the groups planned the different sessions, then we had more conf. calls and worked out the sessions in each sub group and everything was funneled through Kevin as it will through Carmen and then it came together.

Carmen: I foresee the same type of process and had been some discussion regarding the sponsor event that has been between Geo, Rich and myself and Bill but at this point we want that piece to be a surprise. We are hoping the plan for this event comes together earlier.

Tammy: Did we get feedback on what people thought about the sponsor event?

Carmen: David just put it together and I sent it to Bill to look and it was a little disappointing, very few fireside chat comments and few people that participated in the feedback. However this is the first year we are completely electronic.

Ken: Yes first year it was completely electronic, which may have influenced lower response rate.

Carmen: Will forward to everyone. Good suggestions on new fireside chats.

Tammy: What was feedback on vendor event?

Bill: Too loud, good networking.

Carmen: 53 responses, were objectives clear? 4.6 Presenters: 4.7 Specific events where the respondent could write in: David summarized High points were fireside chats, vendor hands on workshop and reception, historical presentation, student involvement. Could have been better, more free time, breakout fsc into adults and peds, nascar event too noisy, web cast cutting in and out(may have been on user end). Other comments, well informed program, subject matter was informative and relevant. Enjoyed wide range of pertinent topics, great meeting. Dave said vendors themselves were positive about vendor event.

Ken: The app accumulated the scores but I haven't looked at it specifically.

Carmen: More free time a common theme.

Justin: always going to get those two diverging opinions: 1. Not enough points, 2. Not enough free time need a balance points vs. free time.

Carmen: Remembers 26 point AACP meetings. Now we are in the 40's. It's a lot of points. I made the comment about the long days, it's a cerebral meeting. Have enjoyed the fsc but wish I had more time to talk to the speaker and collaborate more. Less and less possible to have a direct conversation with a speaker. Allowing for more fellowship with colleagues.

Bill: Never please everyone. Difficult to keep everyone happy. Maybe have optional sessions during the day. Opt out of different sessions. Not sure about getting in the business of \$\$ for different sessions. Maybe one of the days would have the option of opting out for sessions.

Justin: Number one thing is competing against other meetings for points.

Carmen: Fellowship with colleagues at the meeting.

Justin: That is why the fsc are so popular. You have some of that fellowship.

Bill: ABCP struggles with points for sessions where vendors are involved or food is involved. If we had a more open format session, discussion rooms, maybe at end the day with a venue for people to gather in a somewhat formalized event and get points. Not every meeting would have the kind of people that would follow through with the spirit of the session but the AACP has the people that could pull this kind of thing off. Productive. 100 minute session for 2 points at the end of the day.

Group: Thinks it's a good idea.

Justin: Market it like we do the fsc. Gives participants a voice, if they want a voice. Not everyone feels comfortable in the science sessions walking up to the mic and asking a question. In the fsc you have more of an opportunity to make a comment or ask a question. Fun, informative, points would be very popular.

Carmen: We have room to make this a good thing for Reno. Bill and I think a motivational speaker would be great and not at a lunch thing. One idea that came up was Death and dying.

Mac: Yes, a good idea, you have to prepare your staff.

Carmen: great ideas for program committee. Will get an email out and survey people on what they would like to see. Let's move on, since Ken and Molly are here do we have anything we need to talk about as far as social media goes?

Ken: haven't started working on it yet.

Carmen: What's on the radar and what should our action plan should be?

Ken: Need to advertise more on social media it's not being used to its full potential.

Justin: Agree, spoke with some of students toward end of meeting and I found them on my insta, we need to have more social media connections with the perfusion community. People making posts about past meetings and posting while they are at the meeting.

Carmen: Is this an age related thing? Would it be worthwhile to have a blurb in the next newsletter on how to use these platforms?

Ken/Molly: Needs to be someone that has these social media apps and knows how to use them.

Carmen: Alex asked to be on this committee so let's bring him in.

Carmen: **Awards/Manuscripts**: Want to get David involved in this.

Mac: Recent thing submission I reviewed said this was presented at the AACP. There was a connection with the AACP so let's get the review turned in so we can get this published. Dave sent out and did get responses back very quickly.

Carmen: Let's try to get this from David on the next conference call

Justin: There was an issue about the medical student being eligible and winning one of the student awards. We need to get this resolved.

Carmen: Molly were you involved with this committee?

Molly: No was just part of the mail chain.

Carmen: There should be a definition on these awards.

Mac: Or could you say perfusion student? But if we want to limit it, should we say perfusion student?

Carmen: Two went to perfusion students and one to medical student. Al received the best paper award not the student award.

Justin: Needs more discussion and clarification.

Carmen: Should be discussed more with the committee.

Mac: No the council should decide.

Carmen: Will put this as action item for the next meeting.

Carmen: **Simulation**: Nothing has happened with this committee and not sure what is expected.

Bill: I was on this and never asked to do anything. I was on every other simulation committee out there and was never asked to do anything on this one. Instead of focusing on doing actual simulation I think this should focus on perfusionists doing amazing things with team simulation and models in their hospitals, and at home, and even in their garage. Put the call for people who are doing things on their

own...would be a very interesting session. How to build models for simulation on your own. Hands on. Have a lab on how to build your own

Mac: Have heard of proposals where a prospective perfusionist should go to school and then go to a simulation session prior to going out and working.

Justin left the conference call at this point.

Update from David Palanzo: Telephone Conversation Summary between David and Carmen 5/2/19

Manuscript Updates

- The Awards/manuscript committee was pretty efficient and responsive in reviewing papers.
- 8-10 manuscripts were sent on to the journal
- Overall positive feedback from authors regarding pre-review/suggestions from the committee
- Carmen to follow up with Jimmy Beck and committee members as to status of recommended journal articles. This should be emailed out to all as well as posted on our website.

Council Only Access Page

- this work is in progress and hopefully ready by end of June
- currently, David would be the only person able to post due to some financial constraints involved
- David would send out the password for access to council members

Sponsor's request for selecting a group to create a volunteer focus group

- David will reach out to Steve again from Invosurg to clarify the request
- Likely it would involve a number of member/fellow volunteers to participate in a quarterly or bi-annual conf discussion with various vendors. Representation would come from adult and peds perfusionists. We would plan on sending out an email asking for volunteers. This activity would count towards active participation for these members, much like fireside chats, moderating, talks, etc
- More specific details to follow from David
- Sponsor's Page being developed to add to Website

Negotiations taking place for 2021 meeting to be held in Austin, Texas. Currently, STS is slotted to have their meeting in Austin immediately following our proposed dates for 2021.